1	D' GD GD 4001556	C1 D D	
1	Brian C. Rocca, S.B. #221576	Glenn D. Pomerantz, S.B. #112503	
ر م	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com	
2	Sujal J. Shah, S.B. #215230	Kuruvilla Olasa, Š.B. #281509	
2	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com	
3	Michelle Park Chiu, S.B. #248421	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
4	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor	
4	Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B. #259005	Los Angeles, California 90071	
5	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	
7	Rishi P. Satia, S.B. #301958	Vyla W Mach S D #222000	
6	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Kyle W. Mach, S.B. #282090 kyle.mach@mto.com	
١	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Justin P. Raphael, S.B. #292380	
7	San Francisco, CA 94105	justin.raphael@mto.com	
′	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	Emily C. Curran-Huberty, S.B. #293065	
8	1000 (413) 442 1000	emily.curran-huberty@mto.com	
	Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
9	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Floor	
	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	San Francisco, California 94105	
10	101 Park Avenue	Telephone: (415) 512-4000	
	New York, NY 10178		
11	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice	
		jonathan.kravis@mto.com	
12	Ian Simmons, <i>pro hac vice</i>	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
	isimmons@omm.com	601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E	
13	Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925	Washington, D.C. 20001	
	bbradshaw@omm.com	Telephone: (202) 220-1100	
14	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP		
	1625 Eye Street, NW	Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802	
15	Washington, DC 20006	dpetrocelli@omm.com	
1.	Telephone: (202) 383-5300	Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481	
16	Attania and Care Dafan Annata	smcintyre@omm.com	
17	Attorneys for Defendants	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
1 /		1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067	
18		Telephone: (310) 553-6700	
10		Telephone. (310) 333-0700	
19	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
	SANTRANCI	ISCO DIVISION	
21			
_	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
22	ANTITRUST LITIGATION		
_		DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE	
23	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF	
ا ۱		THEIR ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND	
24	Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC, Case No.	COUNTERCLAIMS TO EPIC GAMES,	
25	3:20-ev-05671-JD	INC.'S FIRST AMENDED	
25		COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE	
26		RELIEF	
ا ۵۷		Judge James Donato	
27		Judge James Donato	
_ /		_	
28			

DEFS.' MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL Case No. 3:20-CV-05671-JD; Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11, 79-5(c), 79-5(d) and 79-5(e), Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively, "Google") respectfully submit this Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Epic Games, Inc.'s ("Epic") First Amended Complaint for Injunctive Relief ("Motion to Seal") that are sourced from documents that Epic has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" or "CONFIDENTIAL" pursuant to the Protective Order entered by the Court, ECF No. 143. A redacted version of Google's Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Epic's First Amended Complaint for Injunctive Relief has been filed in accordance with the Local Rules.

Subsection (e) of Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth procedures that apply when a party seeks to file information designated as confidential by an opposing party. Under subsection (e), the submitting party's "declaration in support of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal must identify the document or portions thereof which contain the designated confidential material and identify the party that has designated the material as confidential ('the Designating Party')." Pursuant to subsection (e)(1), the Designating Party has four days to file a declaration establishing that all of the designated material is "sealable."

Civil Local Rule 79-5 provides that documents, or portions thereof, may be sealed if a party establishes that the documents are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. A "strong presumption" of access to judicial records applies to dispositive pleadings, and a party seeking to seal those records must show "compelling reasons." *Primus Grp., Inc. v. Inst. for Env't Health, Inc.*, 395 F. Supp. 3d 1243, 1267 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (citations omitted). Compelling reasons justifying the sealing of court records generally exist "when such court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes, such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release

trade secrets." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks omitted). However, "[t]he mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant's embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records." *Id*.

As described in the accompanying Declaration of Ian Simmons in support of Google's Motion to Seal, Google seeks to file the following confidential information under seal:

Portion Containing Designated Information	Designating Party
Counterclaim ¶ 25	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 29	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 34	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 36	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 40	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 43	Epic
Counterclaim ¶ 72	Epic

Google takes no position on whether the materials identified in this table are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law, as required for material to be sealable under Civil Local Rule 79-5(b). Google has requested to seal this information to comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) and to give Plaintiff the opportunity to argue the merits of this issue. Google respectfully requests leave to file a written response in opposition to any submission from Epic seeking to seal portions of Google's Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Epic Games, Inc.'s First Amended Complaint for Injunctive Relief.

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 112 Filed 10/11/21 Page 4 of 5

1	Respectfully submitted,		
2	Dated: October 11, 2021		
3	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Ian Simmons (pro hac vice ¹)		
4	Daniel M. Petrocelli Benjamin G. Bradshaw		
5	Stephen J. McIntyre		
6	By: <u>/s/ Ian Simmons</u> Ian Simmons		
7			
8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca		
9	Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu		
10	Minna L. Naranjo Rishi P. Satia		
11			
12	By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Rocca</u> Brian C. Rocca		
13	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
14	Glenn D. Pomerantz Kuruvilla Olasa		
15	Kyle W. Mach Justin P. Raphael		
16	Emily C. Curran-Huberty Jonathan I. Kravis		
17			
18	By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz		
19	Glenn D. Pomerantz		
20	Counsel for Defendants		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	¹ Pro hac vice pending in Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD.		
	- 4 -		
	DEFS ' MOT TO FILE UNDER SEAL		

Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 112 Filed 10/11/21 Page 5 of 5

1	ECF ATTESTATION	
2	I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each	
3	of the other signatories hereto.	
4		
5	Dated: October 11, 2021 By: <u>/s/ Ian Simmons</u>	
6	Ian Simmons	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 5 -	